EDITORIAL COMMENTS on revised paper (if any)

- English spelling and conjugation. Overall, the manuscript is poorly written. Major issues were found in syntax, grammar, and structure (layout). English language should be revising by a native speaker.

- These are the basic components of an abstract in any discipline:
  - Part 1) Problem statement: Why do we care about the problem? What scientific gap is your research filling?
  - Part 2) Methods: What did you actually do to get your results? (Without methodological detail) (e.g. from two sites, Sampling methods, Biological material, assessment of parameters, etc.)
  - Part 3) Results: As a result of completing the above procedure, what did you learn/invent/create? What value are your findings and to whom will they be of use?
  - Part 4) Conclusion and recommendations: What are the larger implications of your findings, especially for the problem/gap identified in step 1?

The present abstract needs to be enriched and improved.

Authors' response to editor's comments

As I received reviewers' comments, I did corrections in the manuscript for publish in your esteemed journal. Both reviewers were found minor corrections and recommended for publish. But, Editorial comments were different from both reviewers then I request you to publish in same condition. As per research work, it fulfill all requirement to publish paper in your esteemed journal. Although, I have published a paper in your journal in the same format.