Predictors of Antenatal Depression in a Tertiary Hospital in Nigeria.

ABSTRACT

Background: Antenatal depression is very common in pregnant women all over the world. Women in the developing and poor countries are more at risk. Several risk factors have been identified and some of them may lead to unbearable pregnancy situations that pose a threat to mother and the baby. If pregnancy must be made pleasurable for mothers, then the risk factors which predict depression in pregnancy must be identified and considered in antenatal care.

Objective: To identify risk factors which are predictors to antenatal depression in pregnant women.

Methods: Within the months of January and February 2021, all the pregnant women who registered for antenatal care in the teaching hospital, who met the study inclusion criteria were assessed for depression using the English version of Edinburgh Postnatal Depression scale (EPDS) and a study designed risk factor questionnaire which contained socio-demographic variables and other factors. Data obtained were analysed using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 23. Variables were compared using chi squared and t-tests and p values < 0.05 were statistically significant.

Results: Five hundred (500) respondents completed the study, 158 (31.6%) were depressed. Risk factors of co-habitating, threats to life, and/or pregnancy, fight with spouse and other forms of abuse, previous abortions and child health challenges were predictors of antenatal depression.

Conclusion: Depression in pregnancy should be part of routine antenatal care by obstetricians noting the predictors.
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INTRODUCTION:
Depression is among the most prevalent mental health problems that occur during pregnancy. It is said to affect one in every four women [1]. The symptoms increase more in pregnant women than none pregnant women [1,2]. These symptoms may include loss of pleasure in virtually everything, disturbances in most areas of life including a threat to life [3]. Depression is one of the top five contributors to global disease burden, depression. Such risk factors may include early marriage, poor educational status, increased afecting over 30 million people in Africa [4]. Women in developing countries such as Nigeria, are said to be more exposed to the risks of developing antenatal exposure to domestic violence, unplanned pregnancies, lack of social support, poor obstetrics history, etc [5].

Studies within the African continent have also highlighted on other factors such as fear of pregnancy, unwanted pregnancy, history of debt, lack of support from relations and co-habiting as a marriage variable, as strong risk factors [6-9]. In spite of these findings, depression has remained a neglected public health problem among pregnant women in the African continent [10]. This may be due to the fact that antenatal care traditionally focuses on physical health, neglecting the psychological problems which recurs often and impacts negatively on mothers and the unborn children [11].

There is therefore an urgent need to identify and highlight various factors which may be responsible for such high prevalence of antenatal depression. A few studies have reported on predictors of antenatal depression in the African continent [11,12] In Ethiopia the most commonly reported predictors include low income, unplanned pregnancies, still births, threats to pregnancy, intimate partner violence and poor social support [13-15]. World Health Organization (WHO) in its report on maternal mental health and child health and development in low and middle income countries (2008) reported a wide range of 12.5-42% prevalence rate for antenatal depression [16]. African countries, Nigeria in particular were considered in this report, which highlighted risk factors but did not advice on predictors. This study intends to identify predictors of antenatal depression in this part of the world where it has been reported to be on the increase [9].

METHODS:

Study setting and data collection;

The study was carried out in the department of obstetrics and gynaecology of Rivers State University Teaching Hospital Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The department provides services to all women requiring obstetrics and gynaecological care and the antenatal unit attends to all pregnant women requiring antenatal care. The study was cross-sectional questionnaire study, covering the months of January and February 2020. It captured all women who registered for antenatal care and follow up review in the unit. Only women who were in good mental physical health, who had a minimum of primary education were selected for the study. All pregnant women who met the study criteria were properly educated about the study, after
which they were requested to sign a consent form. Only those who consented to the study were allowed to participate in the study.

Data was obtained by means of personal interview with respondents. Each respondent was given two questionnaires, one was a socio-demographic and risk factor study questionnaire, and the second was the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression scale (EPDS). The study questionnaire assessed respondents in three areas of socio-demographic information, financial and spouse’s information and obstetrics histories.

**Study instruments and data analyses**

Antenatal depression was measured using EPDS, developed by Cox et. al 1987 and validated for detecting depression both ante-partum and postpartum [17,18,19]. It is used all over the world to screen for antenatal depression by researchers [20,21]. It contains 10 specific questions, with four likert scale response options (most of the time, sometimes, not often and never), targeting stress indicators occurring within the previous week in the pregnant women. It is a simple tool, with a sensitivity and specificity of 86% and 78% respectively. Scores are recorded as 0, 1,2,3, or 3,2,1,0, according to symptom severity. The English version of EPDS was used and respondents who scored 12 and above were considered depressed.

Data obtained were fed into the statistical package for social science SPSS version 23.0, and all relevant descriptive statistical variables were computed using student t-test and chi squared test to determine associations between means of continuous variables and categorical variables respectively. Linear multiple regression analysis was used to determine the predictors of antenatal depression from a set of significant associations at bivariate analysis (p<0.05).

**RESULTS:**

Nine hundred and twenty (920) booked pregnant women were seen during the period of study and 500 (54.4%) consented to the study. Of this 500 women that consented to the study,158 had EPDS score of 12 and above giving a prevalence rate of 31.6% for antenatal depression.

**Socio demographic characteristics of respondents**

The mean age of respondents was 34.12±7.8 years with the 30-39yr age group forming 48% of the respondents. Seventy eight percent of the respondents had tertiary education, and majority of them (69%) were unemployed. The socio-demographic variables of age (p=0.107), level of education (p=0.404), and employment status (p=0.119) did not show any significant association with depression. Four hundred and seventy five (95%) of respondents were married, 20 (4%) were single, and 5 (1%) were co-habiting. All the five co-habiting respondents were depressed (p=0.002), but on multiple regression using ‘being single’ as reference, being married had OR; 0.532 (95% CL=0.216-1.311), cohabiting OR; 1974.084. Table 1 shows all the significant risk factors and their associations with depression.
Social history and support from relations

Among all the social variables assessed which included spouses employment, family support, smoking and use of alcohol and illicit drugs, support from spouse (p=0.013), threat to life (p=0.007) and fight with spouse (p=0.013), showed strong association with depression. Logistic regression analysis showed support from spouse OR; 0.407, (95%CL=0.204-0.813), threat to life OR;3.342, (95%CL1.374-8.572) and fight with spouse OR:2.655, (95%CL=1.231-5.723). Table 1 shows details of the associations.

Obstetric variables with depression

Obstetric variables of gestational age, planned pregnancy, parity, family size, previous caesarean sections and mothers’ health challenges did not show any significant associations with depression. Table 1 also shows the variables of lost pregnancies (still birth) p=0.001, history of child death (p=0.002) and health challenges from children (p=0.012) and their levels of association on logistic regression.

| Variable                      | Frequency. | Depressed | Not depressed | P values/others |
|-------------------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Marital status                | n=500      | n=158     | n=342         | P=0.002, OR:0.532, 95%CL=.216-1.311 |
| Cohabiting                    | 5 (1%)     | 5 (3.2%)  | 0 (0.0%)      | OR:1975.6      |
| Married                       | 475 (95%)  | 144 (91.1%) | 331 (96.8%)  | P=0.013, OR:407, 95%CL=.204-.813 |
| Single                        | 20(4%)     | 9 (5.7%)  | 11 (3.2%)     |                |
| Support from spouse           |            |           |               | P=0.013, OR:2.65595%CL=1.231-5.723 |
| No                            | 35(7%)     | 18 (11.4) | 17(5.0%)      |                |
| Yes                           | 468(93%)   | 140(88.6%)| 325(95%)     |                |
| Fight with spouse             |            |           |               | P=0.007, OR:3.432, 95%CL=1.374-8.572 |
| No                            | 472(94.4%) | 143(90.5%)| 329(96.2%)   |                |
| Yes                           | 28(5.6%)   | 15(9.5%)  | 13 (3.8%)    |                |
| Threat to life/pregnancy      |            |           |               | P=0.001, OR:1.11, 95%CL=.881-1.389 |
| No                            | 480(96.0%) | 146(92.4%)| 329(97.7%)   |                |
| Yes                           | 20(4.0%)   | 12(7.6%)  | 8 (2.3%)     |                |
| No of pregnancies lost        |            |           |               | P=0.002, OR:1.907, 95%CL=1.232-3.953 |
| 0                             | 347(69.1%) | 95(60.1%)  | 252(73.7%)   |                |
| 1                             | 105(21.0%) | 50(31.6%)  | 55(16.1%)    |                |
| >2                            | 48(9.6%)   | 13(8.2%)  | 35(10.2%)    |                |
Table 1 Showing Risk Factors and their associations with Depression.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>Crude odd ratios</th>
<th>Adjusted odd ratio AOR</th>
<th>p-values for AOR</th>
<th>95%CL for AOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohabiting</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>197.6</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>1.60-3.623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse bill payment</td>
<td>0.407</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.195-0.810</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threat to life</td>
<td>3.432</td>
<td>2.018</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.750-5.428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fight with spouse</td>
<td>2.655</td>
<td>2.328</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>1.040-5.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child health challenges</td>
<td>2.977</td>
<td>2.279</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.933-5.568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child death</td>
<td>1.907</td>
<td>1.987</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>1.259-3.138</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 showing predictors of Antenatal Depression (predictors when child death is used as numeric. Note: ‘NO’ is the reference category for all categorical predictors. Nagelkarke $R^2$ =0.079 (for all predictors)

**DISCUSSION:**

In this study, the prevalence of antenatal depression was 31.6% using an EPDS score of 12 and above. The mean age of respondents was 34.12±7.8 years, with 78.2% having tertiary education and 69% being unemployed. The socio-demographic variables of age $p=0.117$, level of education $p=0.404$ and employment status $p=0.119$ did not show any significant association with antenatal depression. This is contrary to other studies where younger age, low education and unemployment were strong predictors of antenatal depression.
Ninety five percent of the respondents were married, 4% were single and 1% co-habiting. Marital status showed a strong association with antenatal depression \( p = 0.002 \) using ‘single’ as reference category in logistic regression, married; OR:0.532, 95%CL=0.216-1.311, co-habiting; OR:198.68, 95%CL=0.216-1.311, making co-habiting which is a component of marital status a predictor of antenatal depression. This may slightly differ from other studies that reported being single as predictive [10,12,22,24].

In this study, social variables of spouse employment, family financial support, smoking and alcohol use did not show any significant association with depression, but lack of social and financial support from spouses showed a strong association \( p = 0.013 \), OR:0.407, 95%CL=204-1.22-5.723, this may be in keeping with other similar studies [6,7,10,25,27,28]. In this study, 60% respondents who reported threat to life or pregnancy were depressed \( p = 0.007 \), OR: 3.432, 95%CL=1.374-8.572, in keeping with other studies [7,10,29].

The mean gestational age was 29.7±8.46 weeks, 76% of respondents planned their pregnancies and over 30% had two or more previous confinements. These obstetric variables did not show any significant association with depression which was appropriately distributed across the three trimesters. Stillbirth has been reported severally as a predictor to antenatal depression [6,10,15,22]. Our finding is in keeping with these studies but was not predictive; OR:1.11, 95%CL=0.889-1.389. This study did not see any significant association between history of previous caesarean sections and depression; \( p = 0.690 \). In this study, 8 out of 10 respondents who had lost at least two children previously to death, were depressed; \( p = 0.002 \), OR:1.907, 95%CL=1.232-2.953. Respondents who had children with serious health challenges showed a strong association with depression; \( p = 0.0012 \), OR: 2.977, 95%CL=1.276-6.944. Death of children and child health challenges turned out to be strong predictors to antenatal depression.

**Conclusion:**

Antenatal depression is common in pregnant women with a prevalence of 31.6%. Co-habiting, threat to life or pregnancy, fight with spouse and other forms of abuse, previous abortions and child health challenges were strong predictors of antenatal depression. There is an urgent need to improve reproductive health services to include routine screening of pregnant women for depression during antenatal visits to reduce the burden of antenatal depression and its associated maternal and fetal morbidities and mortalities.

**Study strength and limitation**

This is a cross sectional study, which tried to highlight on various factors which may predict antenatal depression. The setting of this study is cosmopolitan and may not have represented the majority of pregnant women in the region, and most of the cases may have been referred
cases. The inclusion criteria also eliminated all the uneducated women. There is always a place for confirmation of depression with structured clinical interviews.

**Consent:**

Consent was obtained from all supervising authorities, and all the respondents signed a written consent.

**REFERENCES:**


