

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_83151
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on teaching and learning: A case of the Schools under Thrimshing cluster, Trashigang
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The research touches on a relevant and important topic about the current academic situation that the world is experiencing. It reflects only the situation of a part of the problem when considering such a small sample, however, the results show the current academic state of that place. Consider within the methodology to include the reliability and validity of the instrument used. It is necessary to consider within the methodology to include the reliability and validity of the instrument used.	
Minor REVISION comments	Indicate the origin or origin of the instrument, include section of content validity and consistency validity. In any research, whether quantitative or qualitative, it is necessary to include the origin or origin of the data collection instrument. Look for other authors who have considered the use of this instrument. Or failing that, include quotations of the use and validity of the same dimensions as the instrument.	
Optional/General comments	It is necessary to include more literature on findings in related research and to make a comparison in results. It is necessary to include more literature on findings in related research and to make a comparison in results.	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aguirre Mejía Elena Tzetzangary
Department, University & Country	Instituto Tecnológico Superior de Lerdo, México