

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_83935
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing the adoption of Sujala III watershed interventions and constraints faced by farmers
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	After reading this manuscript, I think this is a good paper. However, to improve its quality, several things need to be improved: 1) I think that keywords should not use words in the title of the paper. Please use other words to replace existing keywords: Watershed, Interventions, Constraints, Adoption 2) Provide 1-2 lines of sentences about the contribution and benefits of your research in Introduction. 3) Add Figure of the study area and sampling site 4) Add the statistical equations that used to perform the relationship among the variables	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Gede Tunas
Department, University & Country	Universitas Tadulako, Indonesia