

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_83935
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors influencing the adoption of Sujala III watershed interventions and constraints faced by farmers
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Kindly rewrite your abstract and conclusion for better understanding and in depth study. Disregard general statement. Let it reflect your findings and cogent contribution to knowledge. This will enhance visibility of your work after publication.</p> <p>What is the major contribution to knowledge? What did you achieve on “Factors influencing the adoption of Sujala III watershed interventions and constraints faced by farmers”?</p> <p>Contribution to knowledge, discussion of results and technical content of this work need drastic improvement.</p> <p>More recent references need to be cited. Indicate pictorial view and map of the study area.</p> <p>Why adoption of Sujala III watershed interventions?</p> <p>Why do you decide to use ex post facto research design? Is there any other preferable approach?</p> <p>Insert tables inside manuscript not after conclusion and references. All tables and figures must be referred to and discussed properly. Put references instead of “cited literatures”. Improve on the discussion of your results.</p> <p>Research like this requires recommendations for further studies. I discovered that there is no recommendation for your work.</p> <p>Proof read your work properly. Remove all grammatical and editorial errors. All equations, figures and plates must be checked. Literature review is inadequate.</p> <p><i>Adhere strictly to Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology</i> journal guidelines for all sections of your paper</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Some literatures cited were not listed. Cite more recent references. Kindly check through, cite and list all your references properly. References are inadequate, cite and use recent references between 2012-2022</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Provide source of all your tables and figures. There is no acknowledgment.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oluwadare Joshua Oyebode
Department, University & Country	Afe Babalola University, Nigeria