

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_77369
Title of the Manuscript:	A NARRATIVE REVIEW OF <i>Libidibia ferrea</i> : BOTANICAL ASPECTS, ETHNOPHARMACOLOGICAL PROPERTIES, PHYTOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS, TOXICITY, AND EXPERIMENTAL TESTS
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract is confusing, revise abstract in a more logical sequence to give clearer understanding to the readers as to what is really in this study. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check the abstract part from results: What is meant by the sentence "<i>In vivo</i> models have been used to verify toxicity and it most."; "Studies the plant presented no toxicity in its use." Rephrase the last sentence in the Introduction part: Given the above, this study aims to narrative review the literature on the species <i>Libidibia ferrea</i> (jucá or pau-ferro) and its use in experimental models." Review the writing of some scientific names used, kindly write it in proper form. Improve table 1, add one column for the specific compounds mentioned in the article, another column for the biochemical activity, Rename the title of Table 1. What do you mean for this sentence: "The use of plants can present a degree of toxicity related to their method of preparations as well as the part used and the rout of administration [40,69]."?; kindly change some words or rephrase to give clearer idea on what is really meant. In the toxicity, are you talking about <i>L. ferrea</i>? Since you keep on mentioning <i>C. ferrea</i> too. Kindly clarify. Are the journals you got your information from all High indexed or ISI-Scopus? This will give an impact also in your study. <i>C. ferrea</i> is tackled in the experimemntal tests. Which is which? <i>C. ferrea</i> or <i>L. ferrea</i>? 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Check formatting, also the references cited in the text and in the one's written in the references. 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Lalaine Grace M. Maghanoy</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Central Mindanao University, Philippines</i>