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### PART 1: Review Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compulsory REVISION comments</th>
<th>Reviewer’s comment</th>
<th>Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| The manuscript is good, supported by new research methods and complete data presented. | Writing the manuscript is adjusted to the guidelines for the author. | Abstract:  
The sentence structure in the abstract needs to be rearranged: Aims, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results, and conclusion.  
Material and methods:  
-Line 61-64: Methods…... the treatment in this study: T1, T2, T3…etc…  
-consistency of writing the number of treatments in this study: in abstract: in methods: thirteen treatments… in results: fourteen treatments (line 78)  
-Methods: Line 66-70: It is necessary to add a method of data collection with subtitle:  
1. Method of measuring: Field emergence  
2. Plant height  
3. Number of primary branches per plant  
4. Number of siliqua per plant  
5. Number of seeds per siliqua  
6. etc  
Results and discussion:  
Results  
-international unit writing in english, also in Table 1, 2, 3, 4  
-Line 171-175: The data in Figure 1 is the same as the data in Tables 1, 2,3,4. No need to show again  
Discussion:  
-It is necessary to add a citation/reference as a comparison of the results of research that has been carried out for a more detailed discussion  
Acknowledgments… need to be added  
Acknowledgments are not available yet, the acknowledgments of people who provided assistance in manuscript preparation, funding for research, etc. |

| Minor REVISION comments |  |  |

| Optional/General comments |  |  |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reviewer’s comment</th>
<th>Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</td>
<td>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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