

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Hematology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJR2H_76559
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Antinuclear Antibodies and Differential Leucocyte Count in ABO Typed Women with Spontaneous Abortion in Yenagoa, Bayelsa State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>English writing and grammar:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Although there were many grammatical mistakes in the text of the manuscript, but I corrected all of them in the form of Track Change in main manuscript. Thus the author needs to be assess and accept all changes to improve the manuscript. I strongly remind the authors that this paper cannot be published with no acceptance of grammatical changes. <p>Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. As the abstract in indexed in many databases, thus it was corrected in the form of Track Change. 3. In Place, the name of the country is recommended to be stated. 4. The word UHSAURSA must be separated. 5. I think the word 'majority' seems ambiguous. I recommend to rewrite the sentence. I as a reader cannot find the meaning of this sentence and also the word `Majority`. 6. This paragraph (from Out of the 9 blood group B..... to (p=0.6750; p=0.8905; p=0.2736) subjects in the same order) about ANA needs to be reduced. There are many data here. Select just the most important data and do not state all findings. The section 'Results' in ABSTRACT needs some important findings and not all information. 7. The abbreviated `ANA` was first introduced but it is not clear what this word refer to??? Extended form of ANA is strictly recommended. 8. Academic words must be used remove the word 'shall'. <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 9. Two forms of 'spontaneous abortion' as extended form and 'SA' as abbreviated form are stated here. Remove extended form. 10. The word ACOG must be defined. 11. The phrase "later disease" is Ambiguous word! 12. In all parts of the text use the abbreviated form of SA. 13. The goal of this sentence (Analytical issues, risk factors and aetiology of spontaneous abortion can be reviewed and managed independently) is not clear! Please explain clearly or remove this sentence with its related reference. 14. What does carried out???? The sentence (Many researches have been carried out to identify the underlying mechanisms of spontaneous abortion, and evidence reveals that an immunologic mechanism is involved in some miscarriages) seems incomplete. 15. What is 1 in IgG?? This mistake must be corrected in all parts of the text. 16. This word (interest in the last paragraph of introduction) seems not-necessary. Remove it. <p>Materials and methods + results and discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 17. Following complete assessment of these parts, I, as a reviewer, cannot find any major mistakes. Thus, I think these parts of the manuscript were written carefully with precise data. <p>References:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 18. It is not accepted that the newest reference was related to 2017. Other references were older than 2017. I strictly recommend that replace all old reference with newer ones. 	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Amir Abdolmaleki</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Baqiyatallah University of Medical Sciences, Iran</i>