

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JEMT _78499
Title of the Manuscript:	Kenyan Exports: Tax incentives, Firm traits, Firm-Level, and Macroeconomic determinants
Type of the Article	Original research
Date received	November 30, 2021
Date due	December 12, 2021

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The topic of the research is practical and contemporary</p> <p>Need to readjust all your references to match the Journal style.... Instead of citations with last names and date use numbers... The ref list is reported by numbers as they appear first in the paper [see suggestions n corrected manuscript]</p> <p>Abstract is good, it represents the paper as a whole</p> <p>Introduction and background is appropriate, clear, streamlined, and supported with relevant citations. Needs some proofreading [see suggestions in corrected manuscript]</p> <p>Literature review is good, clear, streamlined, and supported with relevant citations. Needs some proofreading [see suggestions in corrected manuscript]</p> <p>Methodology is clear and the design details are clear as well supported with relevant design parts. Watch for consistency in font size of main titles</p> <p>Results are very well represented in Tables and Figures and relevant to the discussion</p> <p>Discussion is appropriately structured and organized, clear and streamlined. The interpretations are adequate and serve the objectives of the research.</p> <p>Conclusion and recommendations are adequate.</p> <p>The reference section needs special attention as stated above. REDO all based on [1], [2],.... In order of appearance in the text... Review write up of references carefully for consistency</p> <p>Proofreading is needed</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hussin Jose Hejase
Department, University & Country	Al Maaref University, Lebanon