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**Compulsory REVISION comments**

1- **Title**: The title is too long. The term awareness has its place in the recommendations as an action to be taken with the population studied. If the attitudes have been studied we offer the following title: Knowledge and attitudes of developmental coordination disorder vis-à-vis caregivers and teachers in India or vice versa: Knowledge of the development coordination disorder of caregivers and teachers in India.

2- **Methodology**: Was the sample size calculated? The methodology used only makes it possible to assess overall knowledge. Since we are dealing with a population familiar with the topic, it is more interesting to assess "certain knowledge". This makes it possible to plan a sensitization targeted on the assets which are really mastered. To this, I refer you to the following article:

3- **Results**: the results concerning perceptions and socio-demographic distribution do not appear. It would have been interesting to see the mapping of knowledge or misunderstandings still with the objective of targeting corrective actions.

**Minor REVISION comments**

The exclusion criteria are not clearly stated as are the limitations and biases of the study. During the discussion, the reference to "identifying children" and "educating parents" did not correspond to any objective or to any variable studied.

**Optional/General comments**

Overall, this is a descriptive study that deserves attention and pays attention to developmental coordination disorders. In fact, ignorance of the signs relating thereto can lead to a delay in screening, and therefore in care.
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As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOURVER reference style for our paper.
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