

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75950
Title of the Manuscript:	Formulation and Applications of Borage (Borago officinalis) seeds oil and leaves extracts as Microemulsion
Type of the Article	16 Oct'2021

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Title: Title, objective and conclusion are not in line.</p> <p>Abstract:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Title needs to be improved and made in line with objective.</li> <li>2) Study design: is not correct</li> <li>3) Results: Method of measuring antioxidant activity given in result section belongs to methodology.</li> <li>4) Conclusion: "Microemulsions using borage seeds and leaves extracts were prepared, and these microemulsions are transparent, stable" this is not your objective. .</li> </ol> <p>Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Improve rationale of study.</li> <li>2) Objective in abstract and in introduction do not match.</li> <li>3) Introduction is too lengthy and needs to be reduced in size highlighting the rationale.</li> </ol> <p>Material and Methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Lacks study design, sampling method.</li> </ol> <p>Results &amp; Discussion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Discussion needs more references.</li> <li>2) The figures given in the result section can be easily combined giving a, b, c, d numbers to cut down the overall number of figures.</li> <li>3) Unnecessary headings should be removed from the result/ discussion section.</li> <li>4)</li> </ol> <p>Conclusion:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) First paragraph of the conclusion section is not conclusion of the study but seems to be methodology.</li> <li>2) Conclusion needs to be summarised properly.</li> </ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ghulam Saqulain
Department, University & Country	Capital Hospital PGMI, Pakistan