

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77571
Title of the Manuscript:	Tertiary care orthopedic hospital experience to find Effect of dynamization in delayed union tibia shaft fracture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The group is too small and not homogenous [different types of fracture patterns, open vs. closed]</li> <li>- 2 different timelines of dynamization &gt;20 and &lt; 20 weeks – what was the key to patients' qualification? Why weren't those 2 groups compared?</li> <li>- No comparison between open vs. closed fxs.</li> <li>- No information about level of tibia shaft fractures? Same level, different levels? – extremely important, ie. it was even said in the beginning that it's harder to get union in middle part of tibial shaft</li> <li>- Thesis based on 1 case that dynamization in segmental fractures is not a good option?</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Information in text that mean duration of nailing is 35,4 hours – is it correct? Or did you mean time from fracture to surgery?</li> <li>- Grammatical errors, spelling mistakes,</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Piotr Dudek</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Medical Centre of Postgraduate Education, Poland</i></b>