

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78053
Title of the Manuscript:	Local and Systemic Complications of Local Testosterone Patches and Gels
Type of the Article	Review Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>Please review the typo through the entire document (ie: <i>advesre</i> instead of <i>adverse</i>, <i>poetential</i> instead of <i>potential</i>....)</p> <p><b>INTRODUCTION</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please review template relative to length of 5000-9000 words</li> <li>• Please review template relative to Keywords: [Put four to eight keywords } (Arial, inclined, 10 font, justified)</li> <li>• Please review template relative to tittles (Arial, Bold, 11 font, left aligned, caps)</li> <li>• Please review the sentence: <i>Besides, two different formulas have been reported for the transdermal route, including gels and patches and both of which have been reported with favorable efficacies and different dosages.</i> I'm not sure if it would be more correct to put ... <i>with favorable efficacies at different dosages</i> . I mean, has favorable efficacy been reported at different dosages? Or gels and patches have been formulated / administered at different dosages and the favorable efficacy of all of them has not been reported ...I think the sentence is a bit confusing ...</li> </ul> <p><b>METHODS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More information is needed in this section. For example, inclusion and exclusion criteria as well as more detailed information of the literature search should be specified.</li> <li>• The review performed not take into account the use of testosterone in men and in women. Inclusion of sex/gender in the results should be done.</li> <li>• Formulations of topical testosterone has been used in men and women (see Rakibul M Islam 2019), so you must consider these two populations.</li> <li>• Please describe "with no limitations placed on date, language". What languages do you include?</li> </ul> <p><b>RESULTS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This section must be included in order to describe the main characteristics of the results obtained in the bibliographic search (ie: There are differences between sex/gender in the observed side effects in transdermal application?)</li> </ul> <p><b>DISCUSION:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In this section you could discuss if observed systemic adverse events are related with a more bioavailability of different topical administrations.</li> </ul> <p><b>CONCLUSION:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• This section should highlight the impact of the revision and summarize the main findings of the review.</li> </ul> <p><b>REFERENCES</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Please review template relative to number of references take into account the kind of article</li> <li>• Revision/actualization of bibliography is suggested (ex: Qaseem Amir 2020, Rakibul M Islam 2019, Achilli C 2017, Tom McNicholas 2006...)</li> </ul>	

## Review Form 1.6

<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The addition of some table will improve the paper.</li></ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>The word reported has been used in 37 occasions through the entire document. I suggest to use some synonym.</li></ul>	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Maria Rosa Ballester Verneda</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>The Sant Pau Biomedical Research Institute, Spain</i></b>