

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78284
Title of the Manuscript:	Diabetic Foot Risk Factors and Effectiveness of Education in Saudi Arabia
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>I want to congratulate the author for his study and send some suggestions to enhance the article. The article analyses studies on Diabetic Foot Risk Factors and Effectiveness of Education in Saudi Arabia</p> <p>Overview The title is brief and clear, leads directly to what is proposed. This is a review article. According to the journal's recommendations, for this type of article, the author could expand to 50 to 150 references, and between 5000 to 9000 words.</p> <p>Materials & Methods About "Sufficient details of the methods/process should be provided so that another researcher is able to reproduce the described experiments" I suggest that the author describe whether his search was based on the place where the studies were conducted, or the time interval in which they were published, or the focus of the study (Diabetic Foot Risk Factors and Effectiveness of Education) and/or other. I also suggest that the author inform which search engines he used to search for articles for the review.</p> <p>Results & discussion 1. The material studied was presented adequately, from the general to the main focus: The. From general damage associated by CM to main focus (Diabetic Foot) B. From studies in different parts of the world to the place of interest (Saudi Arabia) 2. References relevant to the topic. I suggest that the author emphasizes the fact that few studies on the specific topic (Effectiveness of Education and Diabetic foot risk factors) are an important gap to be filled by new studies 3. Discussion and conclusions were based on articles presented</p> <p>Conclusion The conclusions were based on the references presented by the author.</p> <p>References The references are cited relevant and adequate to the study.</p> <p>I believe that the study deserves to be published, and that the author could reflect on the suggestions made.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Maria Aparecida Junqueira Zampieri</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Faculdade De Medicina De São José Do Rio Preto, Brazil</i>