

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78749
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prevalence of Serratia, Stenotrophomonas, Citrobacter and Morganilla Pathogens among Patients with Infectious Diseases at a Governmental Hospital in Riyadh Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This research paper brings information about The Prevalence of Serratia, Stenotrophomonas, Citrobacter and Morganilla Pathogens among Patients with Infectious Diseases at a Governmental Hospital in Riyadh Region</p> <p>Firstly, I would like to thank that study</p> <p>1- In the introduction in the first line, spherical bacteria are not described as balls, but rather written as spherical or coccus</p> <p>2- In the introduction in the sixth first line, there is no need to mention the reference at the end of the paragraph because you cited it at the beginning of the sentence</p> <p>3- In the introduction in the seventh line you write (bloodstream infections) it should correct to (blood stream infections)</p> <p>4- In Methodology, it needs to be better explained and reinforced with references. He also mentioned the method of isolating Gram-negative bacteria. Since the study included more than one genus of bacteria, please clarify each genus from which type of samples were isolated within the Methodology</p> <p>5- In the results and discussion Tables (1,2,3,4) first column title in the table changes its name to the gram negative bacteria</p> <p>6-</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>1- Leave an indent for each paragraph in the article</p> <p>2- Arrange and adjust the article lines so that the rows are unified and arranged</p> <p>3- The results should be reinforced with additional results such as methods for diagnosing bacteria, whether with VITEK or genetics</p> <p>4- Detailed reformulation of the methods</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yazi Abdullah Jassim
Department, University & Country	Babylon University , Iraq