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<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reviewer’s comment</th>
<th>Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</th>
</tr>
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<td><strong>Compulsory REVISION comments</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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1. Title- S & I in capitals in South Indian  
2. Abstract- Please correct the grammatical errors  
3. Introduction- Please correct the grammatical errors and delete the insignificant line.  
4. Methods- Please mention the exact points of measurement. In the abstract, 50 sample mentioned but here 49 mentioned. Please mention inclusion & exclusion criteria  
5. Discussion- Explain the significance of the results obtained. Kindly correlate the findings with other studies.  
6. References to be mentioned in Vancouver style |

**Minor REVISION comments**

| Optional/General comments |  
This article is suitable for a short communication as only 1 parameter is analysed on small sample size.  
The aim of the study highlights the need for sexual dimorphism of the mandible bone but the study is conducted on radiographs, not dry bone.  
Methodology of this study not clear, exact points of measurement is not mentioned. More sensitive tests like the discriminant functional analysis may be performed.  
Discussion does not explain clearly the purpose and significance of the results obtained. Many grammatical errors. References to be mentioned in Vancouver style. |
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