

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80085
Title of the Manuscript:	Maternal and fetal outcome in pregnancy with sickle cell disease in third trimester in central india: A retrospective study
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this interesting study "<b>Maternal and fetal outcome in pregnancy with sickle cell disease in third trimester in central india: A retrospective study</b>".</p> <p>However, I have some comments and recommendations:</p> <p><b>Comments:</b></p> <p>Title:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Is appropriate for the content of the article.</li> </ul> <p>Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Represents a suitable summary of the work.</li> </ul> <p>Article content:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The design, methods and analysis of the results from the study have been explained and are appropriate for the study.</li> </ul> <p>Conclusions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Are justified on the basis of the results of the study.</li> </ul> <p><b>Recommendations:</b></p> <p>Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Adequately describe inclusion and exclusion criteria.</li> </ul> <p>Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The text should complement the tables.</li> </ul> <p>Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Mention the limitations of the study.</li> </ul> <p>References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Update some references.</li> </ul> <p>General:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Revise language, grammar and syntax.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Akmal El-Mazny</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cairo University, Egypt</b>