

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_80217
Title of the Manuscript:	Radiological and Laboratory Modalities for Diagnosis of COVID-19 : A Systematic Review
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This supposedly systematic review presents us with very debatable results, mixing several works of very different types: retrospective, prospective, cross-sectional, comments or opinions of experts... First the authors comment on the cost of CRP but then suggest strategies for combining CT and chest radiography? They should clarify this point and whether they consider that performing CT for COVID19 diagnosis is cheaper and safer than performing CRP..... They should consider for a systematic review only prospective (they cite only 3) and retrospective studies, avoiding resorting to other reviews and/or expert opinions or comments whose methodological rigor (although written by experts) is clearly lower.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>After making these changes, their work will be re-evaluated. In its current format it would not be publishable.</p>	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.6

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>José López Castro</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>SERGAS, Spain</b>