

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_82642
Title of the Manuscript:	EXTRACTION, PHYTOCHEMICAL SCREENING AND ANTIOXIDANT POTENTIAL OF ETHANOLIC EXTRACT OF DRYPETES ROXBURGHII
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - In the introduction, the important thing that must be conveyed in this paper is why this research should be carried out, give the reasons. - It is not clear how this relates to the research being conducted. Drypetes roxburghii is one of the plants that has the potential as medicine, but the results and discussion have not shown that this plant is important to explore in depth regarding its potential. - better complete the time and place of research - The results obtained in this study are not clear on its use and application in everyday life. - There is no specific explanation in discussing the results from table 1 and table 2. - Explain the application for what? And explain also its relation to its potency as medicine - It is not clear what dose should be used, and in what number of doses should be used? - There is no explanation for the abbreviation "AA" - The conclusion does not describe the results of the study - many repetitions of sentences in this script - Must use a maximum reference of the last 10 years 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Overall, this manuscript is not suitable for publication, because the data and information provided are inaccurate</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jamilah Nasution
Department, University & Country	University Medan Area, Indonesia