

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_76575
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Diagnostic Utility of geohelminths from environmental and stool samples in Nnewi metropolis
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I am confused on what the scholar desires to report. Although mentioned, the sample size is small, the study design is weak. Lack of coherence in the use of pupils and students; who did the scholar work with, pupils or students? There are no students who are 6 years old.	
Minor REVISION comments	Lots of typographical and grammatical errors; see track changes and comments in the MS	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Frederick Nchang Cho
Department, University & Country	Cameroon