

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_78321
Title of the Manuscript:	Sustainable Production of Bioethanol by Zymomonas mobilis and Saccharomyces cerevisiae using Rice Husk and Groundnut Shell as Substrates
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmri.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Add more information about the method of strain identification. 2. What are the detailed conditions for "Sample Hydrolysis" 3. About the description "All the flasks were incubated anaerobically at 37oC" for incubation of microorganism during fermentation. Why anaerobically? Why at 37oC? Saccharomyces cerevisiae don't like this condition. 4. About the description "The apparatus will be then heated up to 80oC and the distillate will be collected as ethanol in a conical flask and stored in a closed lid reagent bottle. Why the distillate can be as ethanol? It may contain water in the ethanol-water solution by temperature of 80oC. 5. Table 2, modify the number "06 05 04 ---" to "6 5 4 ---" 6. Table 8 and Table 9, what does this "Mean ± SD" mean? 7. References should be update. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Please also modify or revise the red words in text as shown in attached file: Ms_MRJI_78321-red	
Optional/General comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chin-Fa Hwang
Department, University & Country	Hungkuang University, Taiwan